

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/531,469	04/15/2005	Hongyu Yue	267154US26PCT	7010		
<sup>22850</sup> OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			GOUDREAU, GEORGE A			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1792			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			02404/2000	ET ECTRONIC		

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/531,469	YUE ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
George A. Goudreau	1792			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Ctatu		

- Failu Any	period for reply is specified above, the maximum re to reply within the set or extended period for rep reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ly will, by statute, cause the applicat		
Status				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>15 April 2005</u> .		
2a)□	This action is FINAL.	2b) This action is non	ı-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition	n for allowance except for	r formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the prac	tice under Ex parte Quay	le, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the	application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/	are withdrawn from consi	ideration.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-43 are subject to restric	tion and/or election requir	rement.	
Applicati	ion Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a) accepted or b)	objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing(s) be h	held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is required	if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note	the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a clain	n for foreign priority under	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority	y documents have been r	received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority	y documents have been r	received in Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority document	ts have been received in this National Stage	
	application from the Internati	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 1	17.2(a)).	
* 5	See the attached detailed Office acti	on for a list of the certified	d copies not received.	
Attachmen	t(s)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08		Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application	
	r No(s)/Mail Date		Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/531,469
Art Unit: 1792

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 20-41, drawn to a method for plasma etching a substrate. Group II, claim(s) 1-19, 42-43 drawn to a system (i.e.-apparatus) for plasma etching a substrate.

The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The apparatus claims have a special technical feature which the method claims do not have. For example, the apparatus claims must optionally be capable of determining an etch rate uniformity while the method claims do not require this feature. Likewise the method claims have a special technical feature which the apparatus claims do not have. For example, the method claims must be capable of measuring the etch rate of a layer by determining a ratio of a difference in thickness of a layer to be etched at the start of the etch, and at the end of the etch relative to the total etching time while the apparatus claims do not. The apparatus claims may use other means for determining the etching rate to these specific means.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Application/Control Number: 10/531,469

Art Unit: 1792

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner George A. Goudreau at telephone number 571-272-1434.

/George A. Goudreau/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792